arXiv:math/0207107v1 [math.DG] 12 Jul 2002

The space of clouds in an Euclidean space

Jean-Claude HAUSMANN and Eugenio RODRIGUEZ

July 12 2002

Abstract

We study the space $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ of clouds in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (ordered sets of m points modulo the action of the group of affine isometries). We show that $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ is a smooth space, stratified over a certain hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We give an algorithm to list all the chambers and other strata (this is independent of d). With the help of a computer, we obtain the list of all the chambers for $m \leq 9$ and all the strata when $m \leq 8$. As the strata are the product of a polygon spaces with a disk, this gives a classification of m-gon spaces for $m \leq 9$. When $d = 2, 3$, $m = 5, 6, 7$ and modulo reordering, we show that the chambers (and so the different generic polygon spaces) are distinguished by the ring structure of their mod 2-cohomology.

1 Introduction

Let E be an oriented finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Let $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ be the space of ordered sets of m points in E, modulo the group of rigid motions of E; more precisely,

$$\mathcal{N}_E^m := G(E)\backslash E^m,$$

where the Lie group $G(E)$ is the semi-direct product of the translation group of E by $SO(E)$, the group of linear orientation-preserving isometries of E, and the group $G(E)$ acts diagonally on E^m. We shall occasionally consider the space $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m = \bar{G}(E)\backslash E^m$, where $\bar{G}$ is the group of all affine isometries of E^m. Observe that $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{N}_{E'}^m$ when E is a proper subspace of E'. An element of $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ will be called a *cloud* of m points in E. (The letter $\mathcal{N}$ stands for "nuage", meaning "cloud" in French.) We abbreviate $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}^d}^m$ to $\mathcal{N}_d^m$. Observe that $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_d^m$, when $d = \dim E$.

The space $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ plays a natural role in celestial mechanics, at least for $d = 2$ or 3 (see, for instance, [AC]). Moreover, its importance was recognized especially in statistical shape theory, a subject which has developed rapidly during the last two decades (see [Sm] and [KBCL]) for a history). There, the space $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ is called the *size-and-shape space* and is denoted by $S\Sigma_d^m$ [KBCL, § 11.2]. This

terminology and notation emphasizes that $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ is the cone, with vertex $\mathcal{N}_0^m$, over the *shape space* Σ_d^m, defined as the quotient of $\mathcal{N}_d^m - \mathcal{N}_0^m$ by the homotheties. A great amount is known about the homotopy type of shape spaces. For instance, in [KBCL], Kendall, Barden, Carne and Li show that Σ_d^m admits cellular decompositions leading to a complete computation of its homology groups.

In this paper, we present an alternative decomposition of the space $\mathcal{N}_d^m$. It is based on polygon spaces, a subject which has also encountered a rich development during the last decade, in connection with Hamiltonian geometry. This approach is completely different from that of statistical shape theory and this paper is essentially self-contained.

First of all, the point set topology of $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ is well behaved and $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ is endowed with a smooth structure. More precisely, the translations act freely and properly on E^m with quotient diffeomorphic to the vector subspace $\mathcal{K}(E^m) = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in E^m \mid \sum z_i = 0\}$. Being therefore the quotient of $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ by the action of the compact group $SO(E)$, the space of clouds $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is locally compact (in particular Hausdorff). Classical invariant theory provides a proper topological embedding φ of $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ into an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N$ (see 2.2). This embedding makes $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ a *smooth space*, i.e. a topological space together with an algebra $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ of *smooth functions* (with real values): those functions which are locally the composition of φ with a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function on $\mathbb{R}^N$. One can prove that $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ if and only if $f \circ \pi$ is smooth on E^m, where $\pi : E^m \to \mathcal{N}_E^m$ is the natural projection (Proposition 2.3). Any subspace of of a smooth space naturally inherits a smooth structure and, together with *smooth maps* (see 2.1), smooth spaces form a category whose equivalences are called *diffeomorphisms*. Finally, we mention that the space $\mathcal{N}_3^m$ has the special feature that the smooth maps admit a Poisson bracket (see 2.6).

Our main tool for stratifying the space $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is the map $\ell : \mathcal{N}_E^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ defined on $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) \in E^m$ by

$$\ell(\rho) := (|\rho_1 - b(\rho)|, \ldots, |\rho_m - b(\rho)|),$$

where $b(\rho) = \frac{1}{m} \sum \rho_i$ is the barycentre of ρ. This map ℓ is continuous and is smooth on $\ell^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$, the open subset of points $\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m$ such that no ρ_i is equal to $b(\rho)$.

We shall prove in Section 3 that the critical points of ℓ are the one-dimensional clouds $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1^m \subset \mathcal{N}_E^m$. The space of critical values is then an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^m$ that we shall describe now. Let $\underline{m} := \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ the family of subsets of $\underline{m}$. For $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$, let $\mathcal{H}_I$ be the hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_I := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sum_{i \notin I} a_i\}.$$

We call these hyperplanes *walls*. They determine a stratification $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$,

i.e. a filtration

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{H}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathbb{R}^m,$$

with $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ being the subset of those $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ which belong to at least $m - k$ distinct walls $\mathcal{H}_I$. A *stratum* of dimension k is a connected component of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^m) - \mathcal{H}^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Note that a stratum of dimension $k \geq 1$ is an open convex cone in a k-plane of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Strata of dimension m are called *chambers*. We denote by $\mathrm{Str}(a)$ the stratum of $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If $\mathrm{Str}(a)$ is a chamber, the m-tuple a is called *generic* and we will often denote $\mathrm{Str}(a)$ by $\mathrm{Ch}(a)$.

The stratification $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ induces a stratification of any subset U of $\mathbb{R}^m$, in particular of $U = (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$. Write $\mathrm{Str}(U)$ for the set of all the strata of U. Denote by $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ the preimage $\ell^{-1}(\{a\})$ of $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Strengthening results of [HK2], we shall prove the following theorem in Section 3.

Theorem A *Let $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$. Then there is a diffeomorphism from $\ell^{-1}(\mathrm{Str}(a))$ onto to $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \times \mathrm{Str}(a)$ intertwining the map ℓ with the projection to $\mathrm{Str}(a)$.*

In the proof of Theorem A, we actually construct, when $\mathrm{Str}(a) = \mathrm{Str}(b)$, a diffeomorphism $\psi_{ba} : \mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{N}_E^m(b)$. One has $\psi_{ab} = \psi_{ba}^{-1}$ and $\psi_{aa} = \mathrm{id}$. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$, we will sometimes use the notation $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$ for any of the spaces $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ with $a \in \alpha$. This is in fact ambiguous because, in general, $\psi_{ca} \neq \psi_{cb} \circ \psi_{ba}$, so one cannot use the maps ψ_{ba} to define an equivalence relation on $\ell^{-1}(\mathrm{Str}(a))$ giving the points of $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$. However, ψ_{ca} is isotopic to $\psi_{cb} \circ \psi_{ba}$, and so the homotopy invariants of $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$, for instance the elements of its cohomology ring, are well defined.

Theorem A may provide good local models for describing the evolution of a cloud. This is especially likely when $\dim E = 2, 3$, where, for generic a, the spaces $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ and thus $\ell^{-1}(\mathrm{Str}(a))$ are smooth manifolds (see below).

Theorem A shows that $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is obtained by gluing together pieces of the form $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha) \times \alpha$ for various $\alpha \in \mathrm{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$. From this point of view, the following questions are natural.

1. Describe the set of all strata of $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$, in particular the set of chambers. This combinatorial problem does not depend on E.

2. Describe $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$.

3. Describe how a stratum of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ is attached to its bordering strata of lower dimension.

The main issue of this paper is to answer Question 1 and, partly Question 2 above. It is convenient to take advantage of the right action of the symmetric group Sym_m on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ and on $\mathbb{R}^m$ by permutation of the coordinates (to deal directly with the smooth space $\mathcal{N}_E^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ and get a corresponding statement of

Theorem A, see 2.5). This action permutes the strata of $\mathcal{H}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$, and $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha^\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_m$. The map ℓ is equivariant and each $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ has a unique representative in $\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$, where

$$\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid 0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_m\}.$$

Therefore, the set $\mathrm{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\mathrm{Sym_m}$ is in bijection with the set $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)$.

In Sections 4 and 5, we show how to obtain a complete list of the elements of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)$ and $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)$. For this, we first show that the set of inequalities defining a chamber α of $\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$ can be recovered from some very concentrated information which we call the *genetic code* of α. Abstracting some properties of these genetic codes gives rise to the combinatorial notion of a *virtual genetic code*. We design an algorithm to find all virtual genetic codes, with the help of a computer (the program in C^{++} is available at [HRWeb]). Deciding which virtual genetic code is the genetic code of a chamber (realizability) is essentially done using the simplex algorithm of linear programming. We thus obtain the list of all the chambers of $\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$, with the restriction $m \leq 9$ due to the computer's limited capacities. The set $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_\nearrow)$ is determined using an injection of $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_\nearrow)$ into $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)$ (see § 5). The number of elements of these sets is

m	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\lvert\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)\rvert$	2	3	7	21	135	2470	175428
$\lvert\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)\rvert$	3	7	21	117	1506	62254	?

(1.1)

It turns out that, for $m \leq 8$, all virtual genetic codes are realizable, but not for $m = 9$: only 175428 out of 319124 are realizable. The non-realizable ones might well be of interest (see Remark 7.13).

Our algorithms produce, in each chamber α, a distinguished element $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$ with integral coordinates and with $\sum a_i$ minimal. Several theoretical questions about these elements $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ remain open (see § 4).

To describe the spaces $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ (Question 2 above), we note that

$$\mathcal{N}_E^m(a) = SO(E)\backslash\{\rho \in E^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0 \text{ and } |\rho_i| = a_i\}.$$

The condition $\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0$ suggests the picture of a closed m-step piecewise-linear path in E, whose ith step has length a_i. Therefore, the space $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ is often called the m-gon space (in E) of type a (we could call it the space of clouds "calibrated at a"). These polygon spaces have been studied in different notations, especially for $\dim E = 2$ and 3 where, for generic a, they are manifolds: see, for instance, [Kl], [KM], [HK1], [HK2]. For $\dim E > 3$ or for a non-generic, see [Ka1] and [Ka2].

The classification of the polygon spaces $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$, for generic a, was previously known when $\dim E = 2, 3$ and $m \leq 5$ (see, for instance [HK1, § 6]). The genetic

codes introduced in this paper extend this classification up to $m = 9$. In § 6, we give handle-decomposition information about the 6-gon spaces $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$ for the 21 chambers of $\mathbb{R}^6_{\nearrow}$. This type of method could be applied to any space $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ for generic a. In addition to these geometric descriptions, algorithms were previously found which compute cohomological invariants of the spaces $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$, for example their Poincaré polynomial ([Kl, Th. 2.2.4], [HK2, Cor. 4.3]). This enables us, in Section 7, to compute the Betti numbers of the spaces $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ for $m \leq 9$. Moreover, presentations of the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ for any coefficients were given in [HK2, Th. 6.4]. This permits us to compute some invariants of the ring $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ and prove in 7.10 the following result:

Proposition B *For $5 \leq m \leq 7$, the spaces $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ (or $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$), for distinct chambers α of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$, have non-isomorphic* mod 2*-cohomology rings.*

Here, the ring structure of $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is important: the Betti numbers alone do not distinguish the spaces. Interestingly enough, the virtual genetic codes which are not realizable also give rise to non-trivial graded rings. We do not know if these rings are cohomology rings of a space, or of a manifold (see Remark 7.13).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set the background of the smooth structure on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ which is used in Section 3 to prove Theorem A. In Section 4, we introduce the genetic code of a chamber and show how to obtain the list of all chambers of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ for $m \leq 9$. In Section 5 we study the injection $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$ into $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ and show how to obtain the list of all strata of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ for $m \leq 8$. Section 6 contains our information on the spaces $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(a)$ for generic a. Section 7 is devoted the cohomology invariants of the polygon spaces. Finally, the results of Sections 6 and 7 are applied in Section 8 to the case of hexagon spaces.

Acknowledgments: Both authors thank the Swiss National Fund for Scientific Research for its support. We are indebted to R. Bacher for suggesting the cohomology invariant $s(\alpha)$ of Proposition 7.8.

2 The smooth structure on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$

2.1 *Smooth spaces and maps.* For X a topological space, denote by $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$ the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of continuous functions on X with real values. If $h : X \to Y$ is a continuous map, denote by $h^* : \mathcal{C}^0(Y) \to \mathcal{C}^0(X)$ the map $h^*(f) = f \circ h$.

Let X be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^N$. A map $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is *smooth* if, for each $x \in X$ there exists an open set U of $\mathbb{R}^N$ containing x and a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ map $F : U \to \mathbb{R}$ which coincides with f throughout $U \cap X$ (compare [Mi, § 1]). The smooth maps on X constitute a subalgebra $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ of $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$.

More generally, if $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a topological embedding of a space X into

$\mathbb{R}^N$ one may consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) = \varphi^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\varphi(X))$. We call $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ a *smooth structure* on X and X (or rather the pair $(X, \mathcal{C}^\infty(X))$) a *smooth space*.

A continuous map $h : X \to Y$ between smooth spaces is called *smooth* if $h^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Y)) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. The map h is a *diffeomorphism* if and only if it is a homeomorphism and h and h^{-1} are smooth. It is a *smooth embedding* if $h^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Y)) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. A smooth embedding is thus a diffeomorphism onto its image.

2.2 *The smooth structure on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$.* Let $\kappa : E^m \to E^m$ be the linear projection

$$\kappa(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = (z_1 - b(z), \ldots, z_m - b(z)),$$

where $b(z) = \frac{1}{m}\sum z_i$ is the barycentre of z. The image of κ is $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ and its kernel is the diagonal Δ in E^m.

The normal subgroup E in $G(E)$ of translations acts freely and properly on E^m and the quotient space $E\backslash E^m$ is the same as the quotient vector space E/Δ. The projection κ descends to a linear isomorphism $\bar{\kappa} : E\backslash E^m \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{K}(E^m)$. The space $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is now the quotient of $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ by the action of the compact group $SO(E)$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Consider the m^2 polynomial functions on E^m given by $z \mapsto \langle \kappa(z_i), \kappa(z_j)\rangle$, where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the scalar product on E. Choose an orientation on E. The determinants $|\kappa(z_{i_1}), \cdots \kappa(z_{i_k})|$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ ($k = \dim E$) are another family of $\binom{m}{k}$ polynomial functions on E^m. All these functions are $G(E)$-invariant and produce a continuous map $\varphi : \mathcal{N}_E^m \to \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = m^2 + \binom{m}{k}$. It is an exercise to prove that φ is injective and proper. As $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ is locally compact, the map φ is a topological embedding of $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ into $\mathbb{R}^N$ and its image is closed (for a family of inequalities defining $\varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ as a semi-algebraic set, see [PS]).

The embedding φ endows $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ with a smooth structure. The following proposition identifies $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ with the smooth functions on E^m which are $G(E)$-invariant.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$. The following are equivalent:*

(A) $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$.

(B) There is a global $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function $F : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = F \circ \varphi$.

(C) The map $f \circ \pi : E^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, where $\pi : E^m \to \mathcal{N}_E^m$ denotes the natural projection.

PROOF: It is clear that (B) implies (A). Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$. For every $\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m$, one has an open set U_ρ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ containing $\varphi(\rho)$ and a smooth function $F_\rho : U_\rho \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f_\rho \circ \varphi = f$ on $\varphi^{-1}(U_\rho)$. Call $U_\infty = \mathbb{R}^N - \varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ and $F_\infty : U_\infty \to$

$\mathbb{R}$ the constant map to 0. As $\varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ is closed, the family $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m \cup \{\infty\}}$ is an open covering of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $\mu_\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth partition of the unity subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$. Then $F(x) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m \cup \{\infty\}} F_\rho(x)$ satisfies (B).

Statement (B) is obviously stronger than (C) (which, incidentally, implies that π is a smooth map). For the converse, one uses that the components of φ constitute a generating set for the algebra of $SO(E)$-invariant polynomial functions on $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ [Wl, § II.9]. Then, any $SO(E)$-invariant smooth function on $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ is of the form $F \circ \varphi$ by the Theorem of G. Schwarz [Sch]. □

2.4 The smooth structure on the space $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m = G(E)\backslash E^m$ is obtained as in 2.2. The embedding $\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ is given by the polynomial function $\rho \mapsto \langle \rho_i \rho_j \rangle$. Proposition 2.3 holds true.

2.5 *Clouds of unordered points.* On $E^m = \{\rho : \underline{m} \to E\}$, the symmetric group Sym_m acts on the right, by pre-composition (or by permuting the coordinates). This action descends on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$.

As in 2.2, the space $\mathcal{N}_E^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m = G(E)\backslash E^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ has a smooth structure, via a topological embedding $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by a generating set of the algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$ which are $SO(E) \times \mathrm{Sym}_m$-invariant. Proposition 2.3 holds true accordingly.

The space $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ also has a smooth structure via the smooth embedding $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ given by the m elementary symmetric polynomials. The map ℓ descends to a continuous map $\bar{\ell} : \mathcal{N}_E^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m \to \mathbb{R}^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ which is smooth away from $\ell^{-1}(\{0\})$. The composition $\psi : \mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow \subset (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m \to (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ is a smooth homeomorphism. The stratification $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow)$ can be transported via ψ to $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$, giving rise to a stratification $\mathcal{H}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m)$. The map $\bar{\ell}$ is stratified and Theorem A holds true for $\bar{\ell}$. Indeed, the diffeomorphisms constructed in the proof of Theorem A given in § 3 are natural with respect to the action of Sym_m.

We must be careful that the smooth homeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow \to (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$ is not a diffeomorphism: the projection onto the first coordinate is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$ but not on $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m/\mathrm{Sym}_m$.

2.6 *Poisson structures on $\mathcal{N}_3^m$.* Recall that a Poisson structure on a smooth manifold X is a Lie bracket $\{,\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ satisfying the Leibnitz rule: $\{fg, h\} = f\{g, h\} + \{f, h\}g$. See [MR] for properties of Poisson manifolds. The same definition makes sense on a smooth space.

The Euclidean space $E = \mathbb{R}^3$ has a standard smooth structure by

$$\{f, g\}(x) := \langle \nabla f \times \nabla g, x \rangle.$$

We endow the product space E^m with the product Poisson structure. If $f, g : E^m \to \mathbb{R}$ are $SO(E)$-invariant, so is the bracket $\{f, g\}$. Thus, the quotient space $SO(E)\backslash E^m$ inherits a Poisson structure.

Using a canonical identification of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $so(3)^*$, the above Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^3$ corresponds, up to sign, to the classical Poisson structure on $so(3)^*$ [MR, p. 287]. The map $\mu : z \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m z_i$, from $\mathbb{R}^3 = so(3)^*$ to E is the moment map for the diagonal action of $SO(E)$. Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a linear map. By the Theorem of Noether [MR, Th. 11.4.1], if $f : E^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth $SO(3)$-invariant map, then $\{f, \xi \circ \mu\} = 0$. This proves that $\{f, g\} = 0$ for all $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(E^m)$ such that $g_{|\mathcal{K}(E^m)} = 0$. Thus, the space $\mathcal{N}_3^m$ inherits a Poisson structure so that the inclusion $\mathcal{N}_3^m \subset SO(E)\backslash E^m$ is a Poisson map.

When $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ is generic, the spaces $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ are manifolds and are the symplectic leaves of $\ell^{-1}(\mathrm{Str}(a))$. This accounts for the symplectic structures on the polygon spaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ studied in [Kl], [KM] and [HK1 and 2].

3 Proof of Theorem A

Throughout this section, the Euclidean space E and the number of points are constant. Denote by $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ the subset of m-tuples $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) \in E^m$ such that $\rho_i \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0$. Define the map $\tilde{\ell} : \dot{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ by $\tilde{\ell}(\rho) := (|\rho_1|, \ldots, |\rho_m|)$.

An element $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) \in E^m$ is called 1-*dimensional* if the vector subspace of E spanned by $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$ is of dimension 1 (therefore, ρ represents an element of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1^m \subset \mathcal{N}_E^m$). These are precisely the singularities of the map $\tilde{\ell} : \dot{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Indeed:

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ is not 1-dimensional. Then $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$ is surjective.*

Proof: Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \tilde{\ell}(\rho)$. As ρ is not 1-dimensional, there are two vectors among $\rho_2, \ldots, \rho_m$ which are linearly independent. The orthogonal complements to these two vectors then span E. Thus, there are curves $\rho_i(t)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, m$ such that $|\rho_i(t)| = a_i$ and

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \rho_i(t) = -(1 + \frac{t}{a_1})\rho_1.$$

Therefore the map

$$t \mapsto ((1 + \frac{t}{a_1})\rho_1, \rho_2(t), \ldots, \rho_m(t))$$

represents a tangent vector $v \in T_\rho \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ with $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}(v) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The same can be done for the other basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^m$ proving that $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$ is surjective. □

Let $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ be 1-dimensional. One thus has $\rho_i = \lambda_i \rho_m$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Let $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ defined by $i \in I(\rho)$ if and only if $\lambda_i < 0$. It is obvious that $\tilde{\ell}(\rho)$ belongs to the wall $\mathcal{H}_{I(\rho)}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ is 1-dimensional. Then the image of $T_\rho\tilde{\ell}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{I(\rho)}$.*

PROOF: Let $I = I(\rho)$. One has $\sum_{i\in I}\rho_i = -\sum_{i\notin I}\rho_i$. The components $\rho_i(t)$ of a curve $\rho(t) \in E^m$ with $\rho(0) = \rho$ are of the form

$$\rho_i(t) = (1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i})\rho_i + w_i(t),$$

with $c_i(0) = 0$ and $w_i(0) = 0$, where $c_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $w_i(t)$ is in the orthogonal complement of ρ_i. The curve $\rho(t)$ is in $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i(t) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{i\in I}(1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i})\rho_i = -\sum_{i\notin I}(1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i})\rho_i. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $c(t) = (c_1(t), \ldots, c_m(t))$. The vector $\frac{\rho_i}{a_i}$ is constant when $i \in I$ and $\frac{\rho_i}{a_i} = -\frac{\rho_j}{a_j}$ if $i \in I$ and $j \notin I$. Therefore, Equation (3.2) is equivalent to $c(t) \in \mathcal{H}_I$. Finally, a direct computation shows that the tangent vector $v \in T_\rho\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ represented by $\rho(t)$ satisfies $T_\rho\tilde{\ell}(v) = \dot{c}(0)$. This proves the lemma. □

PROOF OF THEOREM A : Let $a, b \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ be in the same stratum α. Let $X \subset \alpha$ be the segment joining a to b. For $\delta > 0$, write $U_\delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid d(x, X) < \delta\}$, where $d(x, X)$ is the distance from x to the segment X. We choose δ small enough so that the walls meeting U_δ, if any, are only those containing α. Let $\tilde{U}_\delta := \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(U_\delta) \subset \dot{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let V^b be a vector field on U_δ of the form $V^b_x = \lambda(x)(b - a)$, where $\lambda : U_\delta \to [0, 1]$ is a smooth function equal to 1 on $U_{\delta/3}$ and to 0 out of $U_{2\delta/3}$.

Put on $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$ the standard Riemannian metrics. For $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$, define the vector subspace Δ_ρ of $T_\rho\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ by $\Delta_\rho := (T_\rho\tilde{\ell})^\sharp(T_{\ell(\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^m))$, where $(T_\rho\tilde{\ell})^\sharp$ is the adjoint of $T_\rho\tilde{\ell}$. The vector spaces δ_ρ form a smooth distribution (of non-constant rank) on $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$.

The tangent map $T_\rho\tilde{\ell}$ sends Δ_ρ isomorphically onto the image of $T_\rho\tilde{\ell}$. Since X lies in α, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 show that $V^b_{\tilde{\ell}(z)}$ is in the image of $T_z\tilde{\ell}$ for all $z \in \tilde{U}_\delta$. Therefore, there exists a unique vector field W^b on $\tilde{U}_\delta$ such that, for each $z \in \tilde{U}_\delta$, one has $W^b_z \in \Delta_z$ and $T_z\tilde{\ell}(W^b_z) = V^b_{\tilde{\ell}(z)}$. The map $\tilde{\ell}$ being proper, the vector field W^b has compact support, so its flow Φ_t is defined for all times t. Therefore, $z \mapsto \Phi_1(z)$ gives a diffeomorphism $\psi_{ba} : \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(b) \xrightarrow{\approx} \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(a)$.

As its notation suggests, the map ψ_{ba} depends only on b and not on the choices involved in the definition of V^b (δ and λ). One can thus define $\psi : \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{N}^m_E(a) \times \alpha$ by $\psi(z) := (\tilde{\ell}(z), \psi_{\tilde{\ell}(z)a}(z))$. The vector fields V^b and W^b depending smoothly on $b \in \alpha$, the map is smooth as well as its inverse $(x, u) \mapsto \psi_{ax}(u)$. Therefore, ψ is a diffeomorphism. As the Riemannian metric on $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ and the map $\tilde{\ell}$ are invariant with respect to the action of $SO(E) \times \mathrm{Sym}_m$ the map ψ descends to a diffeomorphism $\psi : \ell^{-1}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{N}^m_E(a) \times \alpha$, which proves Theorem A. Actually, each diffeomorphism ψ_{ba} descends to a diffeomorphism $\psi_{ba} : \mathcal{N}^m_E(b) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{N}^m_E(a)$.

Remark 3.3 Theorem A is also true for the spaces $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m$.

4 The genetic code of a chamber

Let $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^m$. Following [HK2, § 2], we define $S(a) \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ by

$$I \in S(a) \;\Leftrightarrow\; \sum_{i\in I} a_i \leq \sum_{i\notin I} a_i. \tag{4.1}$$

The very definition of the stratification $\mathcal{H}$ implies that $S(a) = S(a')$ if and only if $\mathrm{Str}(a) = \mathrm{Str}(a')$. Thus, for α a stratum of $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$, we shall write $S(\alpha)$ for the common set $S(a)$ with $a \in \alpha$.

When α is a chamber, the inequalities in (4.1) are all strict. The elements of $S(\alpha)$ are then, as in [HK2, § 2], called *short subsets* of $\underline{m}$. Observe that $A \in \underline{m}$ is short if and only if its complement $\bar{A}$ is not short. Therefore, if α is a chamber, the set $S(\alpha)$ contains 2^{m-1} elements.

Define $S_m(\alpha) := S(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$, where $\mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m}) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m}) \mid m \in X\}$.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$. Then $S(\alpha)$ is determined by $S_m(\alpha)$.*

Proof: One has

$$I \in S(\alpha) \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m \in I \text{ and } I \in S_m(\alpha) \\ \text{or} \\ m \notin I \text{ and } \bar{I} \notin S_m(\alpha). \end{array} \right. \tag{4.2}$$

□

Let us now restrict ourselves to chambers of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$. We shall determine them by a very concentrated information called their "genetic code". Define a partial order "$\hookrightarrow$" on $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ by saying that $A \hookrightarrow B$ if and only if there exits a non-decreasing map $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi(x) \geq x$. For instance $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if $X \subset Y$ since one can take φ being the inclusion. The *genetic code* of α is the set of elements $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ of $S_m(\alpha)$ which are maximal with respect to the order "$\hookrightarrow$". By Lemma 4.2, the chamber α is determined by its genetic code; we write $\alpha = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle$ and call the sets A_i the *genes* of α. Thanks to (4.2), the explicit reconstruction of $S(\alpha)$ out of its genetic code is given by the following recipe.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\alpha = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$. Let $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$. Then*

$$I \in S(\alpha) \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m \in I & \textit{and} \;\; \exists\, j \in \underline{k} \textit{ with } I \hookrightarrow A_j \\ & \textit{or} \\ m \notin I & \textit{and} \;\; \bar{I} \not\hookrightarrow A_j \;\forall\, j \in \underline{k}. \end{array} \right.$$

□

Example 4.4 To unburden the notations, a subset A of $\underline{m}$ is denoted by the number whose digits are the elements of A in decreasing order; example: $531 = \{5,3,1\}$. In $\mathbb{R}^3_{\nearrow}$, there are 2 chambers. One of them, say α_0, contains points such as $(1,1,3)$ which are not in the image of $\ell : \mathcal{N}_E^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$. One has $S_3(\alpha_0) = \emptyset$. Its genetic code is empty and one has

$$\alpha_0 = \langle\rangle \quad ; \quad S(\alpha_0) = \{\emptyset, 1, 2, 21\}.$$

The other, α_1 contains $(1,1,1)$, and one has

$$\alpha_1 = \langle 3\rangle \quad ; \quad S(\alpha_1) = \{\emptyset, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let us now figure out which subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ is the genetic code of a chamber of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$. To reduce the number of trials, observe that if $\alpha = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle$, then

(a) $A_i \not\hookrightarrow A_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and

(b) $\bar{A}_i \not\hookrightarrow A_j$ for all i, j.

Indeed, one has Condition (a) since the sets A_i are maximal (and we do not write them twice). For Condition (b), if $\bar{A}_i \hookrightarrow A_j$, then A_i would be both short and not short and Inequalities (4.1) would have no solution. A finite set $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, with $A_i \in \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ satisfying Conditions (a) and (b) is called a *virtual genetic code* (of type m), and we keep writing it by $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{G}_m$ be the set of virtual genetic codes and $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)}$ the subset of those virtual genetic codes containing k genes.

The determination of $\mathcal{G}_m$ is algorithmic:

1. $\mathcal{G}_m^{(0)} = \{\langle\rangle\}$.
2. Each $A \in \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ satisfying $\bar{A} \not\hookrightarrow A$ gives rise to a virtual genetic code $\langle A\rangle$. This gives the set $\mathcal{G}_m^{(1)}$.
3. Suppose, by induction, that we know the set $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)}$ Then, each $(\langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle, \langle A_{k+1}\rangle)$ in $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)} \times \mathcal{G}_m^{(1)}$, so that $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{k+1}\}$ satisfies Conditions (a) and (b), gives rise to an element of $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k+1)}$.

When $\mathcal{G}^{(k+1)} = \emptyset$, the process stops and $\mathcal{G}_m = \bigcup_{i=0}^m \mathcal{G}_m^{(k)}$.

Examples 4.5 For $m = 3$, the family $\mathcal{P}_3(\underline{3})$ contains the sets 3, 31, 32 and 321 (with the notations introduced in Example 4.4). Only 3 satisfies $\bar{3} = 21 \not\hookrightarrow 3$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_3^{(1)} = \{3\}$ while $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ is empty. We deduce that $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{\langle\rangle, \langle 3\rangle\}$. They correspond to the two chambers of $\mathbb{R}^3_{\nearrow}$ found in Example 4.4. In the same way, we easily find the following table:

m	Elements of $\mathcal{G}_m$
2	$\langle\rangle$.
3	$\langle\rangle$, $\langle 3\rangle$.
4	$\langle\rangle$, $\langle 4\rangle$, $\langle 41\rangle$.
5	$\langle\rangle$, $\langle 5\rangle$, $\langle 51\rangle$, $\langle 52\rangle$, $\langle 53\rangle$, $\langle 54\rangle$, $\langle 521\rangle$.

Having found the virtual genetic codes of type m, the next question is which of them are *realizable*, that is, which of them is the genetic code of a chamber of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$. We proceed as follows. Each virtual genetic codes $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle$ of type m determines, a subset $S_{\langle A_1,\ldots,A_k\rangle}$ by the recipe of Lemma 4.3. Define the open polyhedral cone $P := P_{\langle A_1,\ldots,A_k\rangle}$ by

$$P := \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow} \;\Big|\; \sum_{i\in I} x_i < \sum_{i\notin I} x_i \;\forall\, I \in S_{\langle A_1,\ldots,A_k\rangle} \Big\}.$$

If there exits $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ with $\alpha = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_k\rangle$, then $\alpha = P_{\langle A_1,\ldots,A_k\rangle}$. The realization problem is thus equivalent to P being not empty. To find a point inside P, we "push" its walls and consider:

$$P_1 := \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow} \;\Big|\; \sum_{i\in I} x_i \le \sum_{i\notin I} x_i - 1 \;\forall\, I \in S_{\langle A_1,\ldots,A_k\rangle} \Big\} \subset P \qquad (4.5)$$

As P is an open cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then P is not empty if and only if P_1 is not empty. Indeed, if P is not empty, then $\emptyset \neq P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m_{\nearrow} \subset P_1$. We then use the *simplex algorithm* of linear programming to minimize the ℓ_1-norm $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ on P_1. This algorithm either outputs an optimal solution, which is a vertex of P_1, or concludes that P_1 is empty [Ch].

A program in C^{++} was designed, following the above algorithms (comments on this program and the source code can be found in [HRWeb]). A computer could thus list all the chambers of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ for $m \le 9$. Each chamber α is given by a distinguished element $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\nearrow}$ with minimal $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i$. The number of these chambers, $|\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})| = |\mathrm{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\mathrm{Sym}_m|$ is the one given in the first line of Table (1.1) in the introduction.

Experimentally, it turned out that, for $m \le 8$, all virtual genetic codes are realizable. This is not true for $m = 9$:

Lemma 4.6 *The virtual genetic code $\langle 9642\rangle \in \mathcal{G}_9$ is not realizable.*

PROOF: Let $S := S_{\langle 9642\rangle}$. As $9531 \hookrightarrow 9642$, one has $9531 \in S$. On the other hand, $\overline{9642} = 87531 \notin S$. If $S = S(a)$ for some generic $a \in \mathbb{R}^9_{\nearrow}$, we would have $a_7 + a_8 > a_9$. Now $965 \notin S$ by Lemma 4.3, therefore $\overline{965} = 874321 \in S$. By the above inequality on the a_i's, this would imply that $94321 \in S$ which contradicts $94321 \not\hookrightarrow 9642$. □

Our algorithm found 319124 elements in $\mathcal{G}_9$, out of which 175428 are realizable.

The list of all the chambers α of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ with their representative $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ can be found further in this paper for $m \leq 6$ (Sections 6 and 8) and on the WEB page [HRWeb] for $m = 7, 8, 9$.

Several theoretical questions about $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ remain open. For example, why $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ has integral coordinates (with the ℓ_1-norm $|a|_1 = \sum a_i$ odd)? *A priori*, the vertices of P_1 should only be in $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\nearrow}$. Is $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ always unique? This suggests the following

4.7 Conjectures :

a) any stratum of $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ contains a unique element $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\nearrow}$ with minimal ℓ_1-norm.

b) α is a chamber if and only $|a_{\min}(\alpha)|_1$ is an odd integer.

c) All vertices of $P_1(S)$ have integral coordinates.

Conjecture b) is supported by the following evidences. First, it is obvious that an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\nearrow}$ with $|a|_1$ odd is generic. On the other hand, it is experimentally true for $m \leq 9$. Conjecture a) for non generic strata is experimentally true for $m \leq 8$ (see Section 5). Conjecture c) has been checked for $m \leq 8$.

4.8 *Cuts:* One can prove that the set $\mathcal{G}_m$ of virtual genetic code of type m is in bijection with the set of "cuts" on $\underline{m}$ (the name is given in analogy with the Dedeckind cuts of the rationals). A subset S of $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ is a *cut* if, for all $I, J \subset \underline{m}$ the two following conditions are fulfilled:

(A) $I \in S \Leftrightarrow \bar{I} \notin S$.

(B) if $I \in S$ and $J \hookrightarrow I$, then $J \in S$.

The bijection sends a cut S of $\underline{m}$ to the set of maximal elements (with respect to the order "$\hookrightarrow$") of S_m. For details, see [HRWeb].

5 Non generic strata

If $a \in \mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ is not generic, some inequalities of (4.1) are equalities. Thus, an element $I \in S(a)$ is either a *short subset* of $\underline{m}$ (strict inequality) or an *almost short subset*. As in Lemma 4.2, $S(a)$ is determined by $S_m(a) = S(a) \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ and the latter is determined by those elements which are maximal with respect to the order "$\hookrightarrow$" (the *genes* of $S(a)$). We denote the genes which are short subsets by $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ and those which are almost short by $B_1^=, \ldots, B_l^=$. For instance, when $m = 3$, one writes $S(1,1,1) = \langle 3 \rangle$ and $S(1,1,2) = \langle 3^= \rangle$. To be more precise on our conventions, let $I^=$ be an almost short gene of $S(a)$ and $J \hookrightarrow I$. If $|J| < |I|$, then J is automatically short (since $a \in \mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow} \subset (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$). If $|J| = |I|$, then J is supposed to be almost short unless there is a short gene K with $J \hookrightarrow K$. For instance, $S(1,2,2,3,4) = \langle 51, 53^= \rangle$.

The set $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ of all the strata of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ will be studied via a map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$ from $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$ to $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ which we define now. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow}$. If ε is small enough, the m-tuple $a^+ := (\delta, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1})$ is a generic element of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ for $\delta < \varepsilon$ and $\alpha^+ := \mathrm{Ch}(a^+)$ depends only on $\alpha = \mathrm{Str}(a)$.

If $\beta = \alpha^+$, we denote $\alpha = \beta^-$. This makes sense because of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *The map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$ is injective.*

PROOF: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow}$ such that $\mathrm{Str}(a) \neq \mathrm{Str}(b)$. The segment joining a to b will then cross a wall $\mathcal{H}_I$ which does not contain $\mathrm{Str}(a)$. But then, the segment joining a^+ and b^+ will also cross $\mathcal{H}_I$, showing that $\mathrm{Ch}(a^+) \neq \mathrm{Ch}(b^+)$. □

The correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$ can easily be described on the genetic codes. The genetic code of a^+ has the same number of genes than that of a. The correspondence goes as follows. If $\{p_1 \ldots p_r\}$ is a gene of $S(a)$ which is short, then $\{p_1^+ \ldots p_r^+, 1\}$ is a gene of $S(a)^+$, where $p_i^+ = p_i + 1$ (the genes of $S(a^+)$ are all short). If $\{p_1 \ldots p_r\}^=$ is an almost short gene of $S(a)$, then $\{p_1^+ \ldots p_r^+\}$ is a gene of $S(a^+)$.

The following convention will be useful.

5.2 Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ be a generic element of $\mathbb{Z}^k_{\nearrow}$. For $m \geq k$, the m-tuple $\hat{a} = (0, \ldots, 0, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ determines a chamber $\hat{\alpha}$ represented by $(\delta_1, \ldots \delta_{m-k}, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, where $\delta_i > 0$ and $\sum \delta_i < 1$. We say that $\hat{a}$ is a *conventional representative* of $\hat{\alpha}$. For instance, $\langle 521 \rangle$ having the conventional representative $(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$ shows that $\langle 521 \rangle = \langle 321 \rangle^{++}$.

Lemma 5.3 *Let α be a chamber of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$. Then $\alpha = \beta^+$ if and only if one (at least) of the two following statement holds:*

1. *α has a conventional representative $(0, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$.*
2. *there exists $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $\sum a_i$ odd and $a_1 = 1$.*

PROOF: It is clear that either 1. or 2. implies $\alpha = \mathrm{Str}(a_2, \ldots, a_m)^+$. Also, if $\alpha = \beta^+$ for β generic, then α admits a conventional representative. It remains to show that, if $\alpha = \beta^+$ with β non-generic, then Statement 2. holds true.

Observe that, as the walls $\mathcal{H}_I$ are defined by linear equations with integral coefficients, then $\beta \cap \mathbb{Q}^{m-1}$ is dense in β. As β is a cone, it must contain a point in $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{\nearrow}$. As b is not generic, then $\sum b_i$ must be even and the m-tuples $(\delta, b_1, \ldots, b_{m-1})$ are all in α for $\delta < 2$. □

Tables III-V of Section 6 and Table VI of Section 8 show that $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ satisfies the above conditions for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ when $m \leq 6$. This proves the following

Proposition 5.4 *The correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$ gives a bijection* $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow}) \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ *for* $m \leq 6$.

Tables I and II below make the bijection $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^-$ from $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ to $\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$ explicit (we put a conventional $a_{min}(\alpha)$ when there exists one).

The bijection $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^4_{\nearrow}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^3_{\nearrow})$

α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^4_2(\alpha)$	α^-	$a_{\min}(\alpha^-)$	$\mathcal{N}^3_2(\alpha^-)$
$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$	$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 4\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2)$	S^1	$\langle 3^{=}\rangle$	$(1,1,2)$	1 point
$\langle 41\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1)$	$S^1 \amalg S^1$	$\langle 3\rangle$	$(1,1,1)$	2 point

The bijection $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^5_{\nearrow}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^4_{\nearrow})$

α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^5_2(\alpha)$	α^-	$a_{\min}(\alpha^-)$	$\mathcal{N}^4_2(\alpha^-)$
$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$	$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,,1)$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 5\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,3)$	S^2	$\langle 4^{=}\rangle$	$(1,1,1,3)$	1 point
$\langle 51\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1,2)$	T^2	$\langle 4\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2)$	S^1
$\langle 52\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2,3)$	Σ^{or}_2	$\langle 41^{=}\rangle$	$(1,2,2,3)$	$S^1 \vee S^1$
$\langle 521\rangle$	$(0,0,1,1,1)$	$T^2 \amalg T^2$	$\langle 41\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1)$	$S^1 \amalg S^1$
$\langle 53\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2,2)$	Σ^{or}_3	$\langle 42^{=}\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2)$	
$\langle 54\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,1)$	Σ^{or}_4	$\langle 43^{=}\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1)$	

Here, Σ^{or}_g stands for the orientable surface of genus g and the two graphs in the last column are the 2 and 3-fold covers of $S^1 \vee S^1$ without loops. The same work with the bijection $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^6_{\nearrow}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^5_{\nearrow})$ gives the classification of all the 21 pentagon spaces (not necessarily generic) obtained by A. Wenger [We].

In the above two tables, one sees that $\mathcal{N}^5_2(\alpha)$ is the boundary of a regular neighborhood (here in $\mathbb{R}^3$) of $\mathcal{N}^4_2(\alpha^-)$. This reflects the following fact. Let $a_0 \in \alpha$ without zero coordinate. For any $a \in \alpha$, the Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{N}^m_E(a)$ is canonically diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}^m_E(a_0)$ by Theorem A and its proof. This produces a family of Riemannian metrics g_a on $\mathcal{N}^m_E(a_0)$, indexed by $a \in \alpha$. When a tends to a point $a^- \in \alpha^-$, the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{N}^m_E(a_0), g_a)$ converges, for the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, to the metric space $\mathcal{N}^{m-1}_E(a^-)$.

On the other hand, the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$ is not surjective when $m \geq 7$. For instance, $\langle 764\rangle$, with $a_{\min} = (2,2,2,2,3,3,3)$, is not of the form $(\alpha^-)^+$. For, α^- would be $\langle 653^{=}\rangle$. As $421 = \overline{653} \hookrightarrow 653$, this would imply that all a_i^- are equal and $\alpha^- = \langle 654^{=}\rangle$. But $\langle 654^{=}\rangle^+ = \langle 765\rangle \neq \langle 764\rangle$.

The table of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^7_{\nearrow})$ (giving the 135 7-gon spaces) shows 18 chambers with the first coordinate a_{min} not equal to 0 or 1 (see [HRWeb]). One might ask whether

there are other m-tuples a in these chambers with $a_1 = 0, 1$. But, by applying the simplex algorithm to minimize a_1 on the polytope P_1 of (4.5), we saw that this is not the case. Therefore $|\mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^6_{\nearrow})| = 118$. The same procedure succeeded for $m = 8$ and 9, giving the cardinality of $\mathrm{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\mathrm{Sym}_m = \mathrm{Str}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$ for $m \leq 8$ listed in the introduction.

6 Geometric descriptions of the $\mathcal{N}^m_{2,3}(\alpha)$'s

When $d = 2$ or 3 and a is generic, the spaces $\mathcal{N}^m_d(a)$ are smooth manifolds, since $SO(d)$ acts freely on the non-lined configurations. The space $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2(a)$ is also a manifold and the map $\mathcal{N}^m_2(a) \to \bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2(a)$ is a 2-sheeted covering. The space $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2(a)$ lies in $\mathcal{N}^m_3(a)$ as the fixed point set for the involution τ on $\mathcal{N}^m_3(a)$ obtained by reflection through a hyperplane. Observe that $\dim \mathcal{N}^m_3 = 2(m-3)$ while $\dim \dim \bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2 = m-3$. The manifold $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2$ plays the role of a real locus of $\mathcal{N}^m_3(a)$, the latter being endowed with a natural Kaehler structure for which the involution τ is antiholomorphic (see [HK2, § 9]). It is shown in [HK2, Th. 9.1] that the cohomology rings $H^{2*}(\mathcal{N}^m_3(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}^m_2(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are isomorphic, by a graded ring isomorphism dividing the degrees by 2.

The above polygon spaces were previously known for $m \leq 5$ (see, for instance, [HK1, § 6]). Our classification by genetic code produces the more systematic tables below. Conventional representatives $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ (see 5.2) are used when available.

Table III : the 3-gon spaces

α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^3_3(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}^3_2(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^3_2(a)$
$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 3\rangle$	$(1,1,1)$	1 point	1 point	2 points

Table IV : the 4-gon spaces

α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^4_3(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}^4_2(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}^4_2(a)$
$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 4\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2)$	$\mathbb{C}P^1$	$\mathbb{R}P^1$	S^1
$\langle 41\rangle$	$(1,2,2,2)$	S^2	S^1	$S^1 \amalg S^1$

Table V : the 5-gon spaces

	α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_3^5(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^5(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^5(\alpha)$
1	$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,0,1)$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
2	$\langle 5\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,3)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2$	$\mathbb{R}P^2$	S^2
3	$\langle 51\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1,2)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	Σ_1	T^2
4	$\langle 52\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2,3)$	$(S^2 \times S^2) \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	Σ_2	Σ_2^{or}
5	$\langle 521\rangle$	$(0,0,1,1,1)$	$S^2 \times S^2$	T^2	$T^2 \amalg T^2$
6	$\langle 53\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2,2)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	Σ_3	Σ_3^{or}
7	$\langle 54\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,1)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	Σ_4	Σ_4^{or}

Our method produces a classification of the spaces $\mathcal{N}^m_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\alpha)$ for $m \leq 9$, α a chamber, and $n \geq 2$. Table VI of Section 8 gives the list of hexagon spaces. The tables for generic m-gon spaces when $m = 7, 8, 9$ are too big to be included in this paper. They can be consulted on the WEB page [HRWeb].

We shall now give procedures describing $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\beta^+)$ in terms of $\mathcal{N}_E^{m-1}(\beta)$ when β is generic and $\dim E = 2, 3$. A m-tuple $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) \in \mathcal{K}(E^m)$ is called a *vertical configuration* if $\rho_m = (0, \ldots, 0, -|\rho_m|)$.

Proposition 6.1 *If $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$, then $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\beta^+)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta) \times S^1$.*

Proof: Let $(b_2, \ldots, b_m) \in \beta$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $a := (\varepsilon, b_2, \ldots, b_m) \in \beta^+$. A class in $\mathcal{N}_2^m(a)$ has a unique representative $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m)$ which is a vertical configuration. As b is generic, if ε is small enough, then $(b_2, \ldots, b'_m) \in \beta$ when $|b'_m - b_m| < \varepsilon$. The $(m-1)$-tuple $(\rho_2, \ldots, \rho_m + \rho_1)$ thus represents an element $\rho' \in \mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta)$ and the correspondence $\rho \mapsto (\rho', \rho_1)$ produces a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\beta^+)$ to $\mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta) \times S^1$. □

Let $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^m$. Let $\rho_m^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of ρ_m, oriented by the vector ρ. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the composition of the orthogonal projection $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \rho_m^\perp$ with some chosen isometry $\rho_m^\perp \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{R}^2$ preserving the orientation. If $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ is generic, than $\pi(\rho_1)$, $\pi(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$,..., $\pi(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_{m-2})$ are not all zero. This defines a smooth map

$$r : \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha) \to (\mathbb{R}^2)^{m-2} - \{0\}/SO(2) \tag{6.1}$$

where $\alpha = \mathrm{Ch}(a)$. The right hand member of Equation (6.1) is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3}$. The map r thus determines a cohomology class $R \in H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$ which is the characteristic class of some principal circle bundle $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$. The class R was introduced in [HK2, § 6 and 7] and will appear again in Section 6 below.

Lemma 6.2 *(compare [HK2, Prop. 7.3]) The total space $\mathcal{E}(\alpha)$ is S^1-equivariantly diffeomorphic to the spaces of representatives of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$, ($\mathrm{Ch}(a) = \alpha$) which are vertical configurations.*

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \subset (\mathbb{R}^3)^m$ be the space described in the statement. Any element of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ has at least one representative which is a vertical configuration and any two of those are in the same orbit under the orthogonal action of $S^1 = SO(2)$ fixing the vertical axis. As a is generic, the quotient map $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \to \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ is then a principal circle bundle. If $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ denotes the projection onto the first two coordinates, the correspondence $\rho \mapsto (\pi(\rho_1), \pi(\rho_1+\rho_2), \ldots, \pi(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_{m-2}))$ defines a smooth S^1-equivariant map $\tilde{r} : \mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \to (\mathbb{R}^2)^{m-2}$ which covers the map r. This proves that the characteristic class of $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \to \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ is R. □

Example 6.3 The chamber $\alpha = \langle m \rangle$ of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$ has its minimal representative $a = a_{\min}(\alpha) = (1, \ldots, 1, m-2)$. As, in a vertical configuration ρ of $[\rho] \in \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$, one has $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \rho_i = (0, \ldots, 0, m-2)$, the sequence of the third coordinate of ρ_1, $\rho_1 + \rho_2$, …, must be strictly increasing. This implies that the map $\tilde{r}$ of the proof of 6.2 is a smooth S^1-equivariant embedding. It induces a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m \rangle)$ onto $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3}$ and an identification of the bundle $\mathcal{E}(\langle m \rangle) \to \mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m \rangle)$ with the Hopf bundle. (see also [Ha, Remark 4.2]).

Let $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ be the total space of the D^2-bundle associated to $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$.

Proposition 6.4 *If $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$, then $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\beta^+)$ is diffeomorphic to the double of $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$.*

PROOF: Let $(b_2, \ldots, b_m) \in \beta$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $a := (\varepsilon, b_2, \ldots, b_m) \in \beta^+$. A class in $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ has a representative $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m)$ which is a vertical configuration and with $\rho_1 = (\varepsilon \cos\theta, 0, \varepsilon \sin\theta)$. Let $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ be the space of such representatives. The map sending ρ to θ is a smooth map $\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \to [0, \pi]$.

If $\rho \in \check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$, then $\rho_m + \rho_1$ is close to ρ_m. This defines a smooth map $P : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \to SO(3)$, sending ρ to P_ρ, characterized by $P_\rho(\rho_m + \rho_1) = (0, 0, -|\rho_m + \rho_1|)$ and $P_\rho = \mathrm{id}$ if $\theta(\rho) = 0, \pi$. The smooth map $\check{F} : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \to [0, \pi] \times \mathcal{E}(\beta)$ given by

$$\check{F}(\rho) := \Big(\theta(\rho)\,,\, (P_\rho(\rho_2), \ldots, P_\rho(\rho_{m-1}), P_\rho(\rho_m + \rho_1)) \Big)$$

is a diffeomorphism. It induces a diffeomorphism

$$F : \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha) \cong \mathcal{N}_3^m(a) \longrightarrow [0, \pi] \times \mathcal{E}(\beta) \Big/ \sim \tag{6.4}$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation given by $(0, \eta) \sim (0, g \cdot \eta)$ and $(\pi, \eta) \sim (\pi, g \cdot \eta)$ for all $g \in SO(2)$. The right member of (6.4) is diffeomorphic to the double of $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ which proves the proposition. □

If, in (6.1), one replaces $\mathbb{R}^3$ by $\mathbb{R}^2$, one gets a map

$$r : \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha) \to \mathbb{R}^{m-1} - \{0\}/\{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{m-2}$$

This produces a cohomology class $R \in H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which is the Stiefel-Whitney class of the double covering $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\alpha) \to \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$. Lemma 6.2 holds true and Example 6.3 becomes:

Example 6.5 For the chamber $\langle m \rangle$, realized by $a = (1, \ldots, 1, m-2)$, the map r is homotopic to a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$ onto $\mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$ and gives an identification of the double covering $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\langle m \rangle) \to \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$ with $S^{m-3} \to \mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$.

If $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)$ denotes the total space of the D^1-bundle associated to the double covering $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\alpha) \to \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$, one proves, as in Proposition 6.4, that

Proposition 6.6 *If $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\nearrow})$, then $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\beta^+)$ is diffeomorphic to the double of $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\beta)$.* □

Example 6.7 The chamber $\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle = \langle m-1 \rangle^+$ is represented by $a = (1/2, 1, \ldots, 1, m-3)$. As seen in Example 6.3 one has that $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m-1 \rangle)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^{m-4}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\langle m-1 \rangle)$ is the disk bundle associated to the Hopf bundle. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^{m-3}$. For planar polygons, one has $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m-1 \rangle)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^{m-4}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(\langle m \rangle) \to \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$ is the double covering. Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^{m-3} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{R}P}^{m-3}$ (of course, $\overline{\mathbb{R}P}^{m-3} = \mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$ when m is even).

6.8 *Case where β is non generic.* When $\alpha = \beta^+$ with β non generic, some partial information about $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ can still be gathered. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.4, with the same notations. If ε is small enough, the $(m-1)$-tuple $b_\delta := (b_2, \ldots, b_m + \delta)$ is generic when $0 < |\delta| \leq \varepsilon$; set $\beta_{\pm\varepsilon} := \mathrm{Ch}(b_{\pm\varepsilon})$. The manifold $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ is now a cobordism between between $\mathcal{E}(\beta_\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\beta_{-\varepsilon})$. By [Ha, Thm 3.2], the map $-\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \to [-\pi, 0]$ is a Morse function. It has only one critical value, the angle for which the diagonal length $|\rho_m + \rho_1|$ is equal to b_m. The preimage of this critical value is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_3^{m-1}(\beta)$ and the (isolated) critical points are the lined configurations. There is one for each almost short subset $I^= \in S_{m-1}(\beta)$ and its index is equal to $2|I|$ (or $|I|$ for planar polygons). As in Equation (6.4), the space $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ is diffeomorphic to the quotient of the cobordism $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ by the following identifications on its two ends: $\eta \sim g \cdot \eta$ for all $g \in SO(2)$, when $\theta(\eta) = 0, \pi$ (for planar polygons, $g \in O(1)$).

As an application of the results of this section, we will describe all the hexagon spaces in Section 8.

6.9 *Use of toric manifolds.* Recall that a symplectic manifold M^{2n} is called toric if it is endowed with a Hamiltonian action of a torus T of dimension n (the maximal possible dimension for a Hamiltonian torus action). The moment map $\mu : M \to \mathrm{Lie}(T)^* \approx \mathbb{R}^n$ has for image a convex polytope, the *moment polytope*, which determines M up to T-equivariant symplectomorphism (see [Gu]).

The spatial polygon space $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ with its symplectic structure (see 2.6) may admit Hamiltonian torus actions by so the so called *bending flows* (see [Kl], [KM], [HT]), which we recall now. For $I \in \underline{m}$, define $f_I : \mathcal{N}_3^m(a) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_I(\rho) := |\sum_{i\in I} \rho_i|$. If f_I does not vanish, it is a smooth map which generates a Hamiltonian circle action on $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$. This action rotates at constant speed the set of vectors $\{\rho_i \mid i \in I\}$ around the axis $\sum_{i\in I} \rho_I$ (see [Kl, § 2.1], [KM, Corollary 3.9]). The non-vanishing of f_I is equivalent to I being *lopsided*, that is there exists $i \in I$ with $a_i > \sum_{j\in I-\{i\}} a_j$ (see [HT]).

Suppose that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ is formed of lopsided subsets satisfying the following "absorption condition": *if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \neq J$, then either $I \cap J = \emptyset$ or one is contained in the other.* Then, the Hamiltonian flow of the f_i's of $I \in \mathcal{I}$ commute and generate a Hamiltonian action of a torus $T_{\mathcal{I}}$. (see [Kl, § 2.1], [HT, Lemma 2.1]). Thus, when $\dim T_{\mathcal{I}} = m-3$, the manifold $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ is a toric manifold which is determined by the moment polytope for the $T_{\mathcal{I}}$-action.

For example, when $m = 5$, each chamber $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^5_{\nearrow})$ has a representative $a \in \alpha$ with $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $a_3 \neq a_4$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}_3^5(a)$ admits a Hamiltonian action of the 2-dimensional torus $T_{\mathcal{I}}$ for $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1,2\},\{34\}\}$. This shows that the diffeomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}_3^5(a)$ is that of a toric manifold. The determination of all the 2-dimensional moment polytopes was the principle of the classification of the 5-gon spaces given in [HK1, § 6].

The same holds for $m = 6$ since each chamber $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^6_{\nearrow})$ has a representative $a \in \alpha$ with $a_1 \neq a_2$, $a_3 \neq a_4$ and $a_5 \neq a_6$. Therefore, all $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$ are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds. The 3-dimensional moment polytopes can still be visualized but with more difficulties.

The above two cases generalizes in the following

Proposition 6.10 *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$. Suppose that there exists $a \in \alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $a_m \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-5} a_i$. Then the diffeomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ is that of a toric manifold.*

Proof: One can find $a' \in \alpha$ arbitrarily close to a so that $a_{m-4} \neq a_{m-3}$, $a_{m-2} \neq a_{m-1}$ and $a_m > \sum_{i=1}^{m-5} a_i$. Therefore the family of lopsided sets

$$\{m,1\}\,,\ \{m,2,1\}\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \{m,m-5,m-4,\ldots,1\}\,,\ \{m-4,m-3\}\,,\ \{m-2,m-1\}$$

satisfy the absorption condition. Their bending flows generate a Hamiltonian action of a torus of dimension $m-3$, which proves the proposition. □

Examples : Consulting the table of the 135 seven-gons (see [HRWeb]), we see that there are only three $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^7_{\nearrow})$ for which $a = a_{\min}(\alpha)$ does not satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 6.10, that is, here, $a_7 \geq a_1 + a_2$. These are

α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$
$\langle 754, 762\rangle$	(3,3,3,4,4,5,5)
$\langle 764\rangle$	(2,2,2,2,3,3,3)
$\langle 765\rangle$	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)

Thus, all the other 133 heptagon spaces are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds. We do not know whether the above three heptagon spaces are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds.

The same experiment with $m = 8$ or 9 gives the following results: 217 elements of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^8_{\nearrow})$ (out of 2400) and 56550 elements of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^9_{\nearrow})$ (out of 175428) do not satisfy the hypothesis of 6.10.

7 Cohomology invariants of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$

Let α be a chamber of $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$. In [HK2], presentations of the cohomology rings $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ were obtained in terms of α. Our algorithms allowed us, with the help of a computer, to find enough information about these rings to prove that, for $5 \leq m \leq 7$, $\alpha = \alpha'$ if and only if the mod 2 cohomology rings of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ and of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha')$ are isomorphic.

We start by the Poincaré polynomial. Recall that $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ has a cellular decomposition with only even-dimensional cells [HK2, § 4], so its Poincaré polynomial is the same for any field $\mathbb{F}$:

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2(m-3)} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})\, t^i$$

and has only terms of even degree. Moreover, the polynomial $P(\sqrt{t})$ is the Poincaré polynomial of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$ for the coefficient field with two elements $\mathbb{F}_2$ [HK2, § 9]. The first formula for computing $P(t)$ in terms of α was found by A. Kliachko [Kl, Th. 2.2.4]. We will use the more economical formula, using only elements of $S_m(\alpha)$, obtained in [HK2, Cor. 4.3]. With our notation, this is:

Proposition 7.1 *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$. The Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ is*

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} \sum_{J \in S_m(\alpha)} (t^{2(|J|-1)} - t^{2(m-1-|J|)}).$$

Remark 7.2 The difference between the formula in Proposition 7.1 and that of [HK2, Cor. 4.3] comes from that, there, the notation S_m is used for the set of $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1})$ such that $J \cup \{m\} \in S$. Recall that, here, $S_m = S \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$. So, each occurrence of $|J|$ in [HK2, Cor. 4.3] is replaced here by $|J| - 1$.

For $\beta \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$, denote by $\mathrm{NS}_i(\beta)$ the number of sets $I \in \beta$ with $|I| = i+1$. The formula of Proposition 7.1 gives the Betti numbers $b_{2i} := b_{2i}(\alpha) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$ as the solution of the system of equations

$$b_{2i} - b_{2i-2} = \mathrm{NS}_i(S_m(\alpha)) - \mathrm{NS}_{m-2-i}(S_m(\alpha)), \tag{7.3}$$

starting with $b_{2i} = 0$ if $i < 0$. For instance, if $\alpha = \langle 54 \rangle$, realized by $(1,1,1,1,1)$, one has

$$S_5(\alpha) = \{5, 51, 52, 53, 54\}$$

thus $\mathrm{NS}_0(S_5(\alpha)) = 1$, $\mathrm{NS}_1(S_5(\alpha)) = 4$ and the other $\mathrm{NS}_i(S_5(\alpha))$ vanish. This gives $b_0 = 1$, $b_2 = 5$ and $b_4 = 1$, which are indeed the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 54 \rangle) = \mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ [HK2, Example 10.4].

As our computer algorithm had to list all the sets of $S_m(\alpha)$ (for instance, for the realization), the numbers $\mathrm{NS}_i(S_m(\alpha))$ are available and so are the b_{2i}'s.

We now recall the presentation of $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$ obtained in [HK2, Thm 6.4]. Taking care of Remark 7.2, this gives:

Proposition 7.4 *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$. The cohomology ring of the polygon space $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ with coefficient in a ring Λ is*

$$H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \Lambda) = \Lambda[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$$

where R and V_i are of degree 2 and $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$ is the ideal of $\Lambda[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}]$ generated by the three families

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\textit{(R1)} & V_i^2 + RV_i & i = 1, \ldots, m-1 \\
\textit{(R2)} & \displaystyle\prod_{i \in L} V_i & \textit{for all } L \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1}) \textit{ with } L \cup \{m\} \notin S(\alpha) \\
\textit{(R3)} & \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{S \subset L \\ S \cup \{m\} \in \alpha}} \Big(\prod_{i \in S} V_i\Big) R^{|L-S|-1} & \textit{for all } L \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1}) \textit{ with } L \notin S(\alpha)
\end{array}$$

We shall use this presentation, first to compute a homotopy invariant $r_\cup(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$, defined as the rank of the linear map $x \mapsto x \cup x$ from $H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ to $H^4(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ (recall that $x \mapsto x^2$ is a linear map in an algebra over $\mathbb{F}_2$). Observe that $r_\cup(\alpha)$ is also the rank of the same map from $H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ to $H^2(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proposition 7.5 *For all α a chamber of $\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow}$, one has*

$$r_\cup(\alpha) = 1 + \mathrm{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) - \mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) - \mathrm{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha)). \tag{7.5}$$

PROOF: One has $1 + \mathrm{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) - \mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = b_2$ by equations 7.3. Let us first consider the case $\mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) \neq 0$. This means that $S_m(\alpha)$ contains a set with $m-2$ elements and thus contains the smallest of those for the order $\hookrightarrow$, which is $I := \{m, m-3, m-4, \ldots, 1\}$. Then $\alpha = \langle I \rangle$. Indeed, if $\alpha \neq \langle I \rangle$, then α would contain $J := \{m, m-2\}$, which is impossible since $\bar{J} \hookrightarrow I$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) = m-3 \quad , \quad \mathrm{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha)) = m-3 \quad , \quad \mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = 1$$

and the right hand member of (7.5) is equal to zero. On the other hand, Relator (R3) of Proposition 7.4, with $L = \{m-2, m-1\}$ and $S = \emptyset$ gives the equality $R = 0$. By Relators (R1), all squares vanish and $r_\cup = 0$. Formula (7.5) is then proven in the case $\mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) \neq 0$. Observe that $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle I \rangle)$ is diffeomorphic to a product of $m-3$ copies of the sphere S^2 [HK2, Example 10.2].

Assume then that $\mathrm{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = 0$. By Proposition 7.4, the vector space $H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ has the basis $R, V_1, \ldots, V_p$ for $p = \mathrm{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha))$. Indeed, $V_i = 0$ for $i > p$ by Relator (R2) with $L = \{i\}$. The image of $x \mapsto x^2$ is generated by $R^2, V_1^2, \ldots, V_p^2$. The relations between these generators come from relators (R3) of Proposition 7.4 with $|L| = 3$. For such an $L = \{i, j, k\}$ (denoted by ijk), the relation is

$$R^2 + RV_i + RV_j + RV_k + V_iV_j + V_iV_k + V_jV_k = 0. \tag{7.6}$$

The three last terms of the left hand member vanish by Relator (R2). Indeed, since $ijk \notin \alpha$ and $ijk \hookrightarrow ijm$, then $ijm \notin \alpha$. By Relator (R1), Equation (7.6) becomes

$$R^2 - V_i^2 - V_j^2 - V_k^2 = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

To establish Proposition 7.5, it is enough to prove that, for distinct i, j, k, Equations (7.7) are independent. But, by Proposition 7.4, The vector space $H^4(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by the b_1 elements $R^2, V_1^2, \ldots, V_p^2$ together with the $\mathrm{NS}_2(S_m(\alpha))$ non-vanishing products V_iV_j ($ijm \in \alpha$) and these generators are just subject to Equations (7.7). This implies that $b_2 \geq b_1 + \mathrm{NS}_2(S_m(\alpha)) - \mathrm{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha))$. By Equations (7.3), this inequality is an equality, showing that Equations (7.7) are independent. □

The idea of our last cohomology invariant Was given to us by R. Bacher. Define $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$ to be the ideal of $\mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}]$ generated by the elements of $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$ which are polynomials of degree $\leq k$ in the variables R and V_i's (then giving elements of degree $\leq 2k$ in $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$). Define $\mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F}P^{m-1}$ to be the projective variety defined by the equations $W = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{I}_2(\alpha)$.

Proposition 7.8 *a) Let α, α' be chambers of $\mathbb{R}^m_\nearrow$. Any graded ring isomorphism from $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$ onto $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha'); \mathbb{F})$ induces, for $k \geq 1$, a bijection from $\mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha'; \mathbb{F})$ to $\mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$.*

b) Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Then, any element $\zeta \in \mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$ has a unique representative of the form $(-1, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^m$ with $v_i = 0$ or 1. In particular, the set $\mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$ is finite.

c) The finite set $\mathrm{Sol}_2(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$ does not depend on the field $\mathbb{F}$.

PROOF:
Proof of a) : Let $q : H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha);\mathbb{F}) \to H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha');\mathbb{F})$ be a graded ring homomorphism. By Proposition 7.4, the homomorphism q is covered by a graded ring homomorphism $\tilde{q} : \mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}] \to \mathbb{F}[R', V_1', \ldots, V_{m-1}']$ which sends $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$ into $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha')$ for all k. Such a lifting $\tilde{q}$ is well defined up to a homomorphism with image in $\mathcal{I}_1(\alpha')$, therefore q functorialy induces homomorphisms $\tilde{q} : \mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha) \to \mathbb{F}[R', V_1', \ldots, V_{m-1}']/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha')$ for all $k \geq 1$. Observe that $\mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$ can be identified with the projectivization of the vector space of ring homomorphisms from $\mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{F}$. Therefore, the homomorphism q will functorialy induce maps $\hat{q} : \mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha';\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$ for all $k \geq 1$. By this functoriality, if q is an isomorphism, then $\hat{q}$ is a bijection, which proves a).
Proof of b) : let $z = (r, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^m - \{0\}$ represent an element $\zeta \in \mathrm{Sol}_k(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$. Then, $r \neq 0$, since, otherwise relators (R1) of Proposition 7.4 would give equations $v_i^2 = -rv_i$, implying that $z = 0$. Then, $[z]$ has a unique representative with $r = -1$ and the equations $v_i^2 = -rv_i$ imply that $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$.
Proof of c) : The equations defining $\mathrm{Sol}_2(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$, coming from Relators (R1)-(R3) of 7.4, are, for $i, j, k = 1 \ldots, m-1$:

(i) $v_i^2 = -rv_i$. Having normalized $r = -1$, these are equivalent to $v_i = 0, 1$.

(ii) $v_i = 0$, for $\{i, m\} \notin \alpha$ and $v_i v_j = 0$ for $\{i, j, m\} \notin \alpha$.

(iii) Equations (7.7) which, after (i), become $v_i^2 + v_j^2 + v_k^2 = 1$, for $\{i, j, k\} \notin \alpha$.

The solutions $v_i = 0, 1$ of Equations (ii) are clearly independent of the ground field $\mathbb{F}$. The solutions $v_i = 0, 1$ of an equation like $v_i^2 + v_j^2 + v_k^2 = 1$ seem, a priori, to depend on the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$. But, as seen just before Equation (7.7), such an equation occurs only if $r_i r_j = r_j r_k = r_k r_i = 0$. Thus, Equation (iii) is equivalent to the fact that exactly one of the v_i, v_j, v_k is equal to one, a condition independent of $\mathbb{F}$. □

Definition 7.9 *We set $s(\alpha)$ to be the number of elements of $\mathrm{Sol}_2(\alpha;\mathbb{F})$. This does not depend on the field $\mathbb{F}$ by Proposition 7.8.*

It is not difficult to compute $s(\alpha)$ with or without the help of a computer. We select the elements of $W(R, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{I}_2(\alpha)$ and count how many of them vanish when $R = -1$ and $V_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

7.10 *Proof of Proposition B:* The following implies Proposition B of the introduction:

Proposition 7.11 *Let $5 \leq m \leq 7$ and let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{R}^m_{\nearrow})$. Then $\alpha = \alpha'$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha')$ have the same Betti numbers, $r_\cup(\alpha) = r_\cup(\alpha')$ and $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha)'$.*

PROOF: For $m = 5$, by the list of Table V, The only case where two 5-gon spaces have the same Betti numbers are $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 52\rangle) \approx \mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ and $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 521\rangle) \approx S^2 \times S^2$. But $r_\cup(\langle 52\rangle) = 1$ while $r_\cup(\langle 521\rangle) = 0$. (Taking $\mathbb{F}_2$ coefficients is important here: for instance, these two spaces have isomorphic cohomology ring with real coefficients.)

For $m = 6$, the list of Table VI in Section 8 has been sorted by lexicographic order of the triple $b_2(\alpha), r_\cup(\alpha), s(\alpha)$. One thus can check that no such triples occur twice. The same holds for $m = 7$ with $b_2(\alpha), b_4(\alpha), r_\cup(\alpha), s(\alpha)$ (table in [HRWeb]).

Remark 7.12 By [HK2, § 9], the cohomology ring $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ admits the presentation of Proposition 7.4, with R and the V_i's of degree 1. Therefore, the above invariants are mod 2 cohomology invariants of the spaces $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2$ and Proposition 7.11 holds true for these spaces.

Problem 7.13 When a virtual genetic code $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_m$ is not realizable (for instance when $m = 9$), it gives rise as well to a non-trivial graded ring. Is this ring the cohomology ring of a space? Does it satisfy Poincaré duality? Is this ring the cohomology ring of a manifold?

8 The hexagon spaces

As for Tables III-V of Section 6 the first column of Table VI below contains the list of the 21 genetic codes of type 6, all realized by a chamber α whose minimal realization $a_{\min}(\alpha)$, using conventional representatives (see 5.2), is written in the second column. The next three columns give the cohomology invariants of $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$ or $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$ which are defined in Section 7, with the abbreviations

$$b := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha); \mathbb{F}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)\ ,\ r_\cup = r_\cup(\alpha)\ ,\ s = s(\alpha).$$

By Poincaré duality, the number b determines the Poincaré polynomial $P(t)$ of $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$ which is

$$P(t) = 1 + bt^2 + bt^4 + t^6$$

(for $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$, this would be $P(t) = 1 + bt + bt^2 t^3$). The 6-gon spaces have been listed by the lexicographic order of the triples $(b, r_\cup, s)$, showing that the homotopy

type of the hexagon spaces in $\mathbb{R}^2$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$ are distinguished by these cohomology invariants.

The last two columns contain some geometric descriptions of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}_2^6(\alpha)$ obtained by the methods discussed in Section 6. In the last column, we see the hexagon spaces coming from the 7 generic pentagons by adding a tiny vector; their descriptions uses Proposition 6.1. Lines 2 and 3, illustrate Examples 6.5 and 6.7. Line 4 is a special case of [HK2, Example 10.2] (see also the proof of Proposition 7.5). The other descriptions come from the method of 6.8. Using the notations of 6.8, the 3-manifold $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ is a cobordism between the orientable surfaces $\mathcal{E}(\beta_\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\beta_{-\varepsilon})$. When they are connected (all cases except Line 7), we denote their genus respectively by g_+ and g_-. The map $-\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \to [-\pi, 0]$ is a Morse function with n_1 critical points of index 1 and n_2 critical points of index 2. This situation is indicated in Table VI by the writing $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$ (observe that $g_- = g_+ + n_1 - n_2$). The orientable 3-manifold $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$ is diffeomorphic to the quotient of the cobordism $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ by, on each end, a free involution reversing the orientation.

In other words, the notation $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$ tells us that the orientable 3-manifold $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$ is obtained in the following way. For Σ a surface, denote by $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma)$ the mapping cylinder of the orientation covering of Σ. Let $W_+ = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_{g_+})$ union with n_1 1-handles and $W_+ = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_{g_-})$ union with n_2 1-handles. If we require that $W_\pm$ are orientable, they are well defined since there is only one way, up to diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity, to attach 1-handles to $\Sigma_{g_\pm}^{or} \times [0, 1]$ in order to obtain an orientable manifold. Thus, $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$ is obtained by gluing W_+ to W_- by a diffeomorphism of their boundary. In the case where $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, one has $W_+ = W_-$ and Proposition 6.6 says that the gluing diffeomorphism is the identity. We were not able to identify this gluing diffeomorphism in the other cases, so, *a priori*, the numbers $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$ do not determine the homeomorphism type of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$.

In the case $\alpha = \langle 632 \rangle$ (line 7 of the table), $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^5(\beta_\varepsilon) = T^2$ (the only case where it is orientable). Therefore $W_+ = T^2 \times [-1, 1]$ and $W_- = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_2)$.

Table VI : the 6-gon spaces

	α	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	b	$\mathrm{r}_\cup$	s	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^6(\alpha)$
1	$\langle\rangle$	$(0,0,0,0,0,1)$	0	0	0	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
2	$\langle 6\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,1,4)$	1	1	1	$\mathbb{R}P^3$	S^3
3	$\langle 61\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1,1,3)$	2	2	2	$\mathbb{R}P^3 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{R}P}^3$	$S^2 \times S^1$
4	$\langle 6321\rangle$	$(0,0,0,1,1,1)$	3	0	0	T^3	$T^3 \coprod T^3$
5	$\langle 621\rangle$	$(0,0,1,1,1,2)$	3	2	0	$[1;0,0;1]$	T^3
6	$\langle 62\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2,2,5)$	3	3	3	$[0;1,0;1]$	
7	$\langle 632\rangle$	$(1,1,1,3,3,4)$	4	1	1	see above	
8	$\langle 631\rangle$	$(0,1,1,2,2,3)$	4	2	0	$[2;0,0;2]$	$\Sigma_2^{or} \times S^1$

9	$\langle 621, 63\rangle$	$(1,1,2,3,3,5)$	4	3	1	$[1;1,0;2]$	
10	$\langle 63\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2,2,4)$	4	4	4	$[0;2,1;2]$	
11	$\langle 641\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1,2,2)$	5	2	0	$[3;0,0;3]$	$\Sigma_3^{or} \times S^1$
12	$\langle 632, 64\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2,3,3)$	5	2	2	$[2;1,1;2]$	
13	$\langle 631, 64\rangle$	$(1,2,2,3,4,5)$	5	3	1	$[2;1,0;3]$	
14	$\langle 621, 64\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2,3,4)$	5	4	2	$[1;2,0;3]$	
15	$\langle 64\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,2,3)$	5	5	5	$[0;3,0;3]$	
16	$\langle 651\rangle$	$(0,1,1,1,1,1)$	6	2	0	$[4;0,0;4]$	$\Sigma_4^{or} \times S^1$
17	$\langle 641, 65\rangle$	$(1,2,2,2,3,3)$	6	3	1	$[3;1,0;4]$	
18	$\langle 632, 65\rangle$	$(1,1,1,2,2,2)$	6	3	3	$[2;2,1;3]$	
19	$\langle 631, 65\rangle$	$(1,2,2,3,3,4)$	6	4	2	$[2;2,0;4]$	
20	$\langle 621, 65\rangle$	$(1,1,2,2,2,3)$	6	5	3	$[1;3,0;4]$	
21	$\langle 65\rangle$	$(1,1,1,1,1,2)$	6	6	6	$[0;4,0;4]$	

8.1 *Problem.* Describe more precisely the 3-dimensional manifolds $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$, for instance in terms of the Kirby calculus.

References

[AC] A. Albouy & A. Chenciner. Le problme des n corps et les distances mutuelles. *Inventionnes Math.* 131 (1998), 151–184.

[Ch] Chvátal V. Linear programming. W.H. Freeman and Co. 1983.

[Gu] Guillemin, V. Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian T^n-spaces. *Birkhäuser* (1994).

[Ha] Hausmann, J-C. Sur la topologie des bras articulés. *In "Algebraic Topology, Poznan", Springer Lectures Notes* **1474** (1989), 146–159.

[HK1] Hausmann, J-C. and Knutson A. Polygon spaces and Grassmannians. *Enseign. Math.* 43 (1997) 173–198.

[HK2] Hausmann, J-C. and Knutson A. The cohomology rings of polygon spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 48 (1998) 281–321.

[HRWeb] http://www.unige.ch/math/folks/hausmann/polygones. Web page organized by the authors.

[HT] Hausmann, J-C. and Tolman S. Maximal Hamiltonian tori for polygon spaces. *preprint, June 2002, math.SG/0207062*

[Ka1] Kamiyama Y. The homology of singular polygon spaces. *Can. J. Math.* 50 (1998) 581–594

[Ka2] Kamiyama Y. and Tezuka M. Topology and geometry of equilateral polygon linkages in the Euclidean plane. *Can. J. Math.*

[KBCL] Kendall D.G., Barden D, Carne T.K. and Le H. Shape and Shape Theory. John Wiley & Sons.ltd 1999.

[Kl] Klyachko, A. Spatial polygons and stable configurations of points in the projective line. *in: Algebraic geometry and its applications (Yaroslavl, 1992), Aspects Math., Vieweg, Braunschweig* (1994) 67–84.

[KM] Kapovich, M. & Millson, J. The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space. *Jour. Diff. Geom.* 44 (1996), no. 3, 479–513

[Mi] Milnor, J. Topology from the differential viewpoint. *The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville* 1965.

[MR] Marsden, J. and Ratiu, T. Introduction to mechanics and symmetry. *Springer-Verlag*, 1994.

[Pa] Palais R. On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.* 73 (1961) 295–323.

[PS] Procesi C. & Schwarz, G.W. Inequalities defining orbit spaces. *Inventionnes Math.* 81 (1985), 539–554.

[Sm] Small C. The statistical theory of shape. *Springer-Verlag*, 1996.

[Sch] Schwarz, G.W. Smooth functions invariants under the action of a compact Lie group. *Topology* 14 (1975) 63–68.

[We] Wenger, A. Etudes des espaces de configurations de certains systèmes articulés. *Travail de diplôme, University of Geneva*, 1988.

[Wl] Weyl H. The classical groups. *Princeton University Press* 1939.

Section de Mathématiques
Université de Genève, B.P. 240
CH-1211 Geneva 24, Switzerland

hausmann@math.unige.ch
rodriguez@math.unige.ch